



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/785,662 | 02/24/2004 | Patrick J. Caine | 2696 | |
| 75 | 90 08/24/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| Michael R. McKenna | | | LAU, HOI CHING | |
| Suite 3800 500 West Madison | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Chicago, IL 60661 | | | 2636 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | | | | | |
| | 10/785,662 | CAINE, PATRICK J. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Hoi C. Lau | 2636 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 F | ebruary 2004. | | | | | |
| | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| : | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are allowed. | With the transfer of the trans | : | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9-30</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| | 8) Claim(s) are subjected to: 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | | : | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Burea | , | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | · | | | | |
| Attachmant/cl | | ; : | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Preferences Cited (P10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1000 | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1- 30 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 6, 9, 10, 14, 15, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leftridge, Sr (U.S. 6,570,494) in view of Grissom et al. (U.S. 6,166,996).

Regarding Claim 1, Leftridge's device teaches a power source connected to at least one speaker for producing sound, a mosquito dispersing device comprising for generating a mosquito dispersing pitch pattern having a frequency in the range of a wing beat frequency of a dragonfly from which a signal having a frequency of the mosquito dispersing pitch pattern can be produced for use at least on speaker to produce vibrations of the mosquito dispersing pitch pattern in a dispersing area whereby, mosquito in the dispersing area can be diminished by at least one of fleeing upon sensing the vibrations of the mosquito dispersing pitch pattern and succumbing to an increased presence of dragonflies attracted to the dispersing area by the vibrations of the mosquito dispersing pitch pattern (column 1, lines 51-67 and column 3, lines 19-40).

However, it fails to shows an amplifier circuit connected to the speaker.

Art Unit: 2636

Grissom' device teaches an amplifier circuit connected between the transducer unit and control unit (figure 1 and column 4, lines 19-57).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a amplifier circuit with the speaker because it would increase the performance of the speaker for an extended range.

As to **claim 6**, the combination meets all the limitation of claims and Grissom's device teaches a CPU generates pattern in a digital format from a digital storage medium (16 and 18) and convert from digital to analog (column 4, lines 45-57 and column 5, lines 16-53)

It fails to clearly state the device includes an integral converter.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the combination of the CPU (14), frequency generator (20), Preamp and amplifier of Grissom's device able to perform the digital to analog conversion.

As to **claim 9**, Grissom's device teaches the digital storage medium is EPROM and RAM (figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art EPROM is a well-known type of Flash ROM.

As to **claim 10**, Grissom's device inherent include a converter (14 and 22) and wherein the mosquito dispersing pitch pattern is generated in a digital format from a digital storage medium (16 and 18) and the signal having a frequency of the mosquito dispersing pitch pattern is produced by the extraneous converter connected to the amplifier circuit for converting the mosquito dispersing pitch pattern from digital to analog (figure 1 and column 4, lines 19-57).

Art Unit: 2636

As to **claim 14**, Grissom's device teaches the speakers (26) suitably sized to be powered by amplifying to cause the vibrations of the mosquito dispersing pitch pattern to radiate throughout the dispersing area (figure 1 and column 6, lines 17-41).

Regarding **claim 15**, Leftridge's device comprises:

A generator for generating a mosquito dispersing pitch pattern having a frequency in the range of at least one of a wing beat frequency of a dragonfly and a wing beat frequency of a damselfly (column 1, lines 51-67 and column 3, lines 19-40);

A generator for generating a signal having a frequency of the mosquito dispersing pitch pattern (column 1, lines 51-67 and column 3, lines 19-40);

whereby, mosquitoes in the dispersing area can be diminished by at least one of fleeing upon sensing the vibrations of the mosquito dispersing pitch pattern and succumbing to an increased presence of at least one of dragonflies and damselflies attracted to the dispersing area by the vibrations of the mosquito dispersing pitch pattern (column 1, lines 51-67 and column 3, lines 19-40).

It fails to show to clearly state an amplifier connected within system to amplifying the signal to power at least one speaker to produce vibrations of the mosquito dispersing pitch pattern in a dispersing area.

Grissom' device teaches an amplifier circuit connected between the transducer unit and control unit (figure 1 and column 4, lines 19-57).

It is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1.

Art Unit: 2636

Regarding claim 17, Leftridge's device comprises:

A generator for generating a mosquito dispersing pitch pattern having a frequency in the range of at least one of a wing beat frequency of a dragonfly and a wing beat frequency of a damselfly (column 1, lines 51-67 and column 3, lines 19-40);

A device for transmit the pitch pattern signal to power at least one speaker to replicate the vibrations of the wing beat frequency of a dragonfly in an area to effectively disperse mosquitoes from the area.

It fails to show to clearly state an amplifier connected within system.

Grissom' device teaches an amplifier circuit connected between the transducer unit and control unit (figure 1 and column 4, lines 19-57).

It is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1.

As to **claims 18**, the combination meets all the limitation of claims and Grissom's device teaches a store storing the pitch pattern and for accessing the pitch pattern signal stored for storing (figure 1 and column 4, lines 19-57).

As to **claims 19**, the combination meets all the limitation of claims and Grissom's device teaches the pitch pattern signal is in digital format and the means for storing is a digital storage medium; and further comprising a converter for converting the pitch pattern signal from digital to analog (column 4, lines 45-57 and column 5, lines 16-53).

Regarding claim 23, Leftridge's device comprises:

Art Unit: 2636

A generator for generating a mosquito dispersing pitch pattern having a frequency in the range of at least one of a wing beat frequency of a dragonfly and a wing beat frequency of a damselfly (column 1, lines 51-67 and column 3, lines 19-40);

The speakers to produce vibrations of the mosquito dispersing pitch pattern in a dispersing area (column 1, lines 51-67);

A circuit board which having speakers (figure 5, and column 1, lines 51-67)

It fails to show to clearly state an amplifier connected within system to amplifying the signal

Grissom' device teaches an amplifier circuit connected between the transducer unit and control unit (figure 1 and column 4, lines 19-57).

It is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1.

Claims 2-5, 11-13, 16, 20-22, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leftridge, Sr (U.S. 6,570,494) in view of Grissom et al. (U.S. 6,166,996), in further view of Lenhardt et al. (U.S. 6,250,255).

As to **claims 2-5**, the combination meets all the limitation of claim except it fails to show the frequency pattern is below the ultrasonic range which is in the range of about 15-50Hz and 20-40Hz or is fixed at about 33.5Hz

Lenhardt's device teaches the frequency pattern with low frequency (5-50 Hz) tones or noise that are generally pulsed at less than 100Hz (column 7, lines 4-8 and column 8, lines 12-41).

Art Unit: 2636

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to associate Lenhardt's frequency range with Leftridge's device because this frequency range would produce a flutter sensation in the somatosensory system where it can set up at a fixed frequency at 33.5Hz.

As to **claim 11**, the combination meets all the limitation of claims and Grissom's device teaches a pulse circuit (20) developing a pitch pattern signal of a selecting frequency (column 4, lines 42-57).

However, it fails to show the frequency range in 20 to 40 hertz.

Lenhardt's device teaches the frequency pattern with low frequency (5-50 Hz) tones or noise that are generally pulsed at less than 100Hz (column 7, lines 4-8 and column 8, lines 12-41).

It is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 2-5.

As to **claim 12-13**, the combination meets all the limitation of claims and Lenhardt's device teaches the pulse circuit comprises a timer circuit (figure 6) with the same structure as the monostable timer circuit wherein the timer circuit compares an integrated timer circuit connected to an RC circuit resistance and capacitance of the RC circuit is selected to provide the select frequency (figure 6 and column 9, lines 22-37).

As to **claim 16**, the combination meets all the limitation of claims and Leftridge's device teaches the frequency in the range of a wing beat frequency of a dragonfly.

It fails to show the frequency range is about 20 to 40 hertz.

Art Unit: 2636

Lenhardt's device teaches the frequency pattern with low frequency (5-50 Hz) tones or noise that are generally pulsed at less than 100Hz (column 7, lines 4-8 and column 8, lines 12-41).

It is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 2-5.

Regarding claims 20, Leftridge's device comprising:

A housing 30 (figure 1 and column 1, lines 51-55);

A speaker in housing wherein the speaker develops an acoustic wave of a frequency corresponding to wing beat of a mosquito predator. (figure 1 and column 1, lines 51-67);

It fails to show an amplifier and a pulse circuit in housing developing a pulse signal of a select frequency in the range of 20 to 40 hertz.

Grissom's device teaches a pulse circuit (20) developing a pitch pattern signal of a selecting frequency (column 4, lines 42-57).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a pulse circuit and amplifier because a pulse circuit would provide a distinct frequency pattern while an amplifier would increase the frequency range and power for the device.

Lenhardt's device teaches the frequency pattern with low frequency (5-50 Hz) tones or noise that are generally pulsed at less than 100Hz (column 7, lines 4-8 and column 8, lines 12-41).

It is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 2-5.

Art Unit: 2636

As to **claims 21 and 22**, it is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 12 and 13.

Claims 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grissom et al. (U.S. 6,166,996) in view of Leftridge, Sr (U.S. 6,570,494), in further view of Lenhardt et al. (U.S. 6,250,255).

Regarding claims 24, Grissom's device comprises:

A speaker (26) (figure 1 and column 4, lines 21-57);

A generator 20 for generating a pitch pattern signal comprising a store (16 and 18) for storing the pitch pattern signal and means for accessing the pitch pattern signal stored for storing wherein pitch pattern signal being in digital format of a select frequency and the store for storing being a digital storage medium (16 and 18) (figure 1 and column 4, lines 21-57).

A converter (CPU 14 and cycle select 22) in the housing for converting the pitch pattern signal from digital to analog (figure 1 and column 4, lines 21-57);

An amplifier connecting the means for generating a pitch pattern signal to the speaker, wherein the speaker develops an acoustic wave of a frequency (figure 1 and column 4, lines 21-57).

It fails to clearly to state the device is installed into housing and the selected frequency range is about 20 to 40 hertz, which is corresponding to wing beat of a mosquito predator.

Leftridge's device teaches the device is integrated inside a housing (figure 1 and column 1, lines 51-67).

Art Unit: 2636

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the device would integrate inside a housing because it would provide a protection for device to prevent outside environment impact.

Lenhardt's device teaches the frequency pattern with low frequency (5-50 Hz) tones or noise that are generally pulsed at less than 100Hz (column 7, lines 4-8 and column 8, lines 12-41).

It is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 2-5.

As to **claim 25**, Grissom's device teaches digital storage medium which is a Flash ROM (16).

It is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 9.

Regarding claim 26, Grissom's device comprises:

A generator for generating a signal having a frequency of a pitch pattern comprising a first print circuit board, which first printed circuit board having a digital storage medium for storing the pitch pattern and a pre-amplifier for accessing the pitch pattern stored on the digital storage medium and producing an analog signal having the frequency of a pitch pattern (column 4, lines 19-57);

An amplifier for amplifying the signal t power at least one speaker in the same circuit board which comprising an amplifier circuit for amplifying the analog signal having a frequency of a pitch pattern to produce vibrations of the pitch pattern in a dispersing area (column 4, lines 19-57).

It fails clearly state the frequency in the range of at least one of a wing beat frequency of a dragonfly and a wing beat frequency of a damselfly and a second printed circuit board.

Art Unit: 2636

Leftridge's device teaches the frequency in the range of a wing beat frequency (column 1, lines 51-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to set the frequency range about a wing beat frequency of dragonfly because the majority insects' wings have the same structure which could associate the same repelling technique.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify a single circuit board into two separate circuit board because it would provide a replaceable access for the device without replace the entire unit when the device is not function properly.

As to **claim 27**, the combination meets all the limitation of claims except it fails to show the frequency range about 20 to 40 hertz.

Lenhardt's device teaches the frequency pattern with low frequency (5-50 Hz) tones or noise that are generally pulsed at less than 100Hz (column 7, lines 4-8 and column 8, lines 12-41).

It is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 2-5.

As to **claim 28**, Lenhardt's device teaches an integrated sensor 56 responsive to a remote control (figure 7 and column 9, lines 6-42).

As to **claims 29 and 30**, Leftridge's device teaches a battery as a power supply (column 1, lines 51-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/785,662 Page 12

Art Unit: 2636

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 7 and 8 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

NOTES: Claims 7 and 8 are allowable over the prior art of record since the cited references taken individually or in combination fails to particularly disclose the digital storage medium has a plurality of distinct fixed frequency mosquito dispersing pitch patterns stored thereon in the range of about 20 to 40 hertz where user able to select a distinct pitch pattern for the plurality of distinct fixed frequency pitch pattern.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Stokes et al. (U.S. 5,896,696) teaches an apparatus and method for generating and radiating energy at specific radio frequency wavelengths known to adversely affect the nervous systems of small insects such as flies, gnats and the like wherein each is affected by a different frequency or range of frequency. Moschgat (U.S. 3,872,472) shows an ultrasonic system for intermittently producing pulses of energy for permanently repelling rats and other noxious. Feng (U.S. 6,553,711) teaches a switchable mosquito expelling/killing device including a box body having a clip body for hanging the box body on a user's body.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoi C. Lau whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2636

(571)272-8547. The examiner can normally be reached on M- F 8:30am -

5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Hofsass can be reached on (571)272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HCL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY, CENTER 2600